

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/048,012	01/25/2002	Hiroaki Saeki	33082R116	1344
7590 09/29/2005		EXAMINER		
Smith Gambrell & Russell			BRAHAN, THOMAS J	
Beveridge DeGrandi Weilacher & Young Intellectual Property Group Suite 800 1850 M Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
Washington, DC 20036		•	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4
v

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/048,012	SAEKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thomas J. Brahan	3652	

	Thomas J. Brahan	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>9/15/2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the contrac	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further conditions (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belong) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.115 5. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	the rejections under 35 USC 112.		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6 and 7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		/ .
		Thomas J. Brahan	9/26/05

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3652

Enter Upor
Enter Upor
Appeal
Appeal
Inthe claims:

Serial No: 10/048,012 Docket No: 033082M116

Please amend the claims as shown below.

Claim 1 (currently amended): A transfer system for transferring an object to be processed out of a carrier which is provided mounted on a top face of a load port unit and for transferring the object to the carrier, said transfer system comprising:

a system body having a bottom, a front wall vertical with respect to the bottom, and a guide rail provided so as to extend in lateral directions of said system body;

a linear motor having a secondary side provided so as to extend in lateral directions of said system body and a primary side movable to the secondary side; and

a transfer robot which is mounted on the primary side of said linear motor and which is capable of linearly reciprocating along the guide rail,

wherein both said load port unit and the guide rail are mounted on the front wall of said system body, said load port unit is mounted on the outside of the front wall of said system body, and the guide rail is mounted inside of said front wall of said system body,

the primary side and the secondary side have vertical oriented opposing faces, and the transfer robot transfers the object from and to the carrier positioned on the top face of the load port <u>unit</u>.

Claim 2 (previously presented): A transfer system as set forth in claim 1, which further comprises an exhaust fan which is provided on the bottom of said system body.

Claim 3 (previously presented) A transfer system as set forth in claim 2, which further comprises a clean air supply system for supplying clean air to said object which is transferred by said